

Darwinists seem to be desperate to find some evidence of “missing links” in the fossil record.

“People who prophesy out of their own imaginations.”—Ezekiel 13:17 NIV

“Pursuing their own imaginations.”—Isaiah 65:2 NIV

“Your mind will imagine confusing things.”—Proverbs 23:33 NIV

Like the literal drunkard in [Proverbs 23:33](#) “imagining confusing things,” Darwinists have been drunk on their own theories for a long time.

In a 2018 National Geographic article entitled “[Dinosaur-Era Bird Found With Shockingly Intact Skull](#),” the author claimed “a delicate but remarkably well-preserved fossil skull is providing new clues to *how birds evolved from their dinosaur ancestors*.”

The fossil in this article has been in a museum since the 1870's, and now certain scientists are claiming it to be a missing link between birds and dinosaurs. Darwin thought it was, but couldn't quite “prove it.” The so-called ‘fossil record’ was viewed as incomplete in Darwin's day. Many millions of fossils have been discovered since then, but they don't help Darwinists prove their ‘pet’ theory. Why? They don't provide any ‘missing links’, notwithstanding their imaginations to the contrary! There should be many thousands of intermediate fossils discovered between birds and dinosaurs by now if macro-evolutionary theory was correct. Since the supporting fossils are lacking, this is strong evidence that the theory is fiction.

The millions of fossils that have been discovered without any intermediate forms between birds and any supposed ancestors provide overwhelming evidence that the Bible is correct in its description of the creation of birds:

“God created . . . every winged bird according to its kind. And God saw that it was good. So God blessed them, ‘ . . . let the birds multiply on the earth’”—Genesis 1:21,22 HCSB

Darwinists have been forced to desperately search for some kind of evidence of “missing links,” since there are none in the fossil record.